

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/811,546 | 03/20/2001 | Karl Kolter | 51284 | 9100 |
| 26474 7590 02/26/2007 NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SILVERMAN, ERIC E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , 20 20003 | | 1615 | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PE | RIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | Y MODE |
| 3 MONTH | 2 | 02/26/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/811,546 | KOLTER ET AL | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Eric E. Silverman, PhD | 1615 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apport | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u> | anuary 2007. | | | | | |
| ′— | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4) Claim(s) 1,3-19 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-19 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| · | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| , | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | |
| Attachmon | tic) | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | v (PTO-413) | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response, filed 1/3/2007, has been received. Claims 1, 3 – 19, and 21 – 26 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's traverse of the election by original presentation in the reply filed on 1-3-2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the independent and distinct standard is not applicable to this invention, because it was filled under 35 U.S.C. 317. This is not found persuasive because the invention lacks unity since claim 1 is known or suggested by the art. *Vide infra*.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

This application contains claims 25 and 26 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in response filed 1-3-2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of claims 1, 3 – 19, and 21 – 24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is **withdrawn** in view of amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,546

Art Unit: 1615

The rejection of claims 1, 3 – 19, 21 - 24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolter et al is **withdrawn** in view of amendments and Applicants' persuasive argument.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3 – 19, 21 – 24 **remain** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolter in view of Ortega for reasons of record and those discussed below.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "dosage form with delayed release" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

In response to applicant's argument that "with delayed release" is a limitation imparting patentability to the claims over the cited art, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed

invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Applicant also asserts that the combination of Ortega and Kolter would alter the function of Kolter. However, since Kolter teaches a range of release times, it cannot be said that Ortega's teachings of how to optimize the release profile would change the principle of operation of Kolter.

Applicants' also argue that a binder is taught to impart cohesive properties, and not to impart delayed release. This argument is not well understood, since Applicant has not made reference to any specific limitation in a claim that Applicant feels is not met. To the extent that Applicant desired to aver that one would not be motivated to modify the binder in order to impart delayed release properties, that argument would not be persuasive, since Ortega specifically teaches changing the release rate or profile by altering the amount of binder. It is noted that the motivation to combine references need not be the same as Applicants'.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric E. Silverman, PhD whose telephone number is 571

Page 5

272 5549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571 272 8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric E. Silverman, PhD Art Unit 1615

> MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600